

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					У	L
ı	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/776,786	05/01/97	BARKATS	[4]	ST94065-US	

HM12/1019

**EXAMINER** PRIEBE, S

MARTIN F SAVITZKY RHONE POULENC RORER INC PO BOX 5093 LEGAL PATENTS 3C43 COLLEGEVILLE PA 19426-0997

Ţ

Г

**ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1632

DATE MAILED:

10/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/776,786

Barkats et al.

Examiner

Scott D. Priebe, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit

Art Unit		
1632		

TH	HE PERIOD	FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) 🗌 ex	pires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	IS	pires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of thi later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six month jection.	s Advisory Action, whichever s from the date of the final				
	date on who	sion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response a nich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also th g the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) abo	e date for the purposes of				
X	Appellant period for	's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Oct 8</u> response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1	, 1999 .192(a).				
pn <b>V</b> t	oplicant's i it is NOT d	esponse to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Oct\ 8,\ 1999}$ has been considered wit leemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	h the following effect,				
X	The prop	osed amendment(s):					
	X will b	e entered u <del>pon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brie</del> f.					
	☐ will n	ot be entered because:					
	☐ the	ey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See no	te below).				
	_	ey raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
		ey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reques for appeal.	ducing or simplifying the				
		ey present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
	NOTE:						
	X Appli	cant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
		All rejections under 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 112, 1st para., and all rejections of the cancelled claims.					
		oposed or amended claims would be allo timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	wable if submitted in a				
X		avit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place	the application in condition				
		for allowance because: <u>No new arguments presented with respect to rejections under 35 USC 103. With respect to rejection under 35 USC</u>					
		L. pg. 3 of spec. does not indicate that the disclosure of PCT/EP93/02519 was inco					
		avit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues w					
	the Exam	iner in the final rejection.	, ,				
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):						
	Claims allowed:						
	Claims objected to:						
	Claims rejected: 27, 34-36, 38, 40, 41, and 48-50						
	The prope	osed drawing correction filed on hashas not been app	proved by the Examiner.				
	Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	_•				
X	Other re	South D. Priche					
		erence is improper in any case. No other new arguments were presented versing this rejection.	SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D.				
		•	PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1632				